# (19) World Intellectual Property Organization

International Bureau





(43) International Publication Date 6 January 2005 (06.01.2005)

PCT

# (10) International Publication Number WO 2005/000115 A1

(51) International Patent Classification<sup>7</sup>:

A61B 5/00

(21) International Application Number:

PCT/US2004/019883

(22) International Filing Date: 21 J

21 June 2004 (21.06.2004)

(25) Filing Language:

English

(26) Publication Language:

English

(30) Priority Data:

10/602,345

23 June 2003 (23.06.2003) U;

(63) Related by continuation (CON) or continuation-in-part (CIP) to earlier application:

US

10/602,345 (CIP)

Filed on

23 June 2003 (23.06.2003)

- (71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): IN-FRAREDX, INC. [US/US]; 125 Cambridge Park Drive, Cambridge, MA 02140 (US).
- (72) Inventors; and
- (75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): ZULUAGA, Andres [US/US]; 130 Bowdoin Street, Apt. 1808, Boston, MA 02108 (US). FURNISH, Simon [US/US]; 30 St. Mark's Place #2D, New York, NY 10003 (US). CAPLAN, Jay [US/US]; 34 Clark Street, Belmont, MA 02478 (US).


- (74) Agent: FASSE, Peter, J.; Fish & Richardson P.C., 225 Franklin Street, Boston, MA 02110-2804 (US).
- (81) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of national protection available): AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NA, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PG, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SY, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, UZ, VC, VN, YU, ZA, ZM, ZW.
- (84) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of regional protection available): ARIPO (BW, GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, NA, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European (AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HU, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PL, PT, RO, SE, SI, SK, TR), OAPI (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

#### Published:

with international search report

For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.

(54) Title: INTRALUMINAL SPECTROSCOPE WITH WALL-CONTACTING PROBE



(57) Abstract: The technical features mentioned in the abstract do not include a reference sign between parentheses (PCT Rule 8.1(d)). An atraumatic light-coupler (24) at the distal end of the probe (16) rests on a contact area (26) on the arterial wall (14) which directs light from fiber (18) to the arterial wall (14) illuminating the structures (28) behind the wall (14). These structures (28) scatter some of the light back to the contact area (26), where it re-emerges through the arterial wall (14). The atraumatic light-coupler (24) collects this re-emergent light and directs it into the fiber (18).

# INTRALUMINAL SPECTROSCOPE WITH WALL-CONTACTING PROBE

## FIELD OF INVENTION

The invention relates to spectroscopy, and in particular, to spectroscopes for detecting vulnerable plaques within a wall of a blood vessel.

## BACKGROUND

Atherosclerosis is a vascular disease characterized by a modification of the walls of blood-carrying vessels. Such modifications, when they occur at discrete locations or pockets of diseased vessels, are referred to as plaques. Certain types of plaques are associated with acute events such as stroke or myocardial infarction. These plaques are referred to as "vulnerable plaques." A vulnerable plaque typically includes a lipid-containing pool of necrotic debris separated from the blood by a thin fibrous cap. In response to elevated intraluminal pressure or vasospasm, the fibrous cap can become disrupted, exposing the contents of the plaque to the flowing blood. The resulting thrombus can lead to ischemia or to the shedding of emboli.

One method of locating vulnerable plaque is to peer through the arterial wall with infrared light. To do so, one inserts a catheter through the lumen of the artery. The catheter includes a delivery fiber for illuminating a spot on the arterial wall with infrared light. Various particles in the blood, as well as the arterial wall itself, scatter or reflect much of this light. A small portion of the light, however, penetrates the arterial wall, scatters off structures deep within the wall. Some of this deeply-scattered light re-enters the lumen. This re-entrant light can be collected by a collection fiber within the catheter and subjected to spectroscopic analysis.

In an effort to avoid recovering light scattered from the blood and from the wall surface, the delivery fiber is displaced from the collection fiber. The diameter of the catheter must therefore be large enough to accommodate the two fibers and the gap that separates them.

#### **SUMMARY**

The invention is based on the recognition that by collecting scattered light directly from an intraluminal wall, one avoids scattering that results from propagation of light through blood. As a result, it is no longer necessary to provide separate collection and delivery fibers. Instead, only a single fiber is necessary.

In one aspect, the invention includes an apparatus for detecting vulnerable plaque within a lumen defined by an intraluminal wall. The apparatus includes a probe having one or more optical fiber extending therethrough, and an atraumatic coupler in communication with the optical fiber(s). The coupler is configured to atraumatically contact the intraluminal wall. The apparatus also includes a light source in optical communication with the fiber for illuminating the wall; and a detector in optical communication with the fiber for detecting light from within the wall.

In one embodiment, the probe includes a jacket enclosing the fiber. The jacket can be a coil-wire wound into a coil-wire jacket, with or without a variable diameter coil wire.

In other embodiments, the probe resiliently assumes a preferred shape. Examples of preferred shapes include a bow, an arc, a catenary, or a portion thereof.

The atraumatic coupler can be on the distal end of the probe. Embodiments of this type include those in which the atraumatic coupler is a lens attached to the distal tip of the optical fiber. In some embodiments, the lens has a focal length that limits the divergence angle of a beam mode-matched to the optical fiber, for example, to an angle less than about 20 degrees. In some embodiments, the lens includes a collimating lens.

In some embodiments the atraumatic coupler includes a divergence limiter attached to the distal tip of the optical fiber. In one embodiment, the divergence limiter includes a thermally-expanded fiber core section of the optical fiber.

Additional embodiments include those in which the atraumatic coupler is integral with the optical fiber, as for example where a distal tip of the optical fiber forms part of

the atraumatic coupler. In some embodiments, the optical fiber has an acceptance angle smaller than about 20 degrees.

The atraumatic coupler can also be along a side of the probe. Examples of such couplers include those having a window along a side of the probe, and a beam re-director providing optical communication between the window and a distal tip of the fiber. Other examples include those in which a distal face of the optical fiber provides optical communication with the window.

The invention optionally includes a cannula through which the probe passes. The cannula can include walls forming a channel conformal with the cannula through which the probe passes. In these embodiments, the probe can be steered toward the wall by providing tapered or flared distal end having an opening facing toward or away from a longitudinal axis of the cannula.

Other embodiments include those having a hub to which a distal end of the probe is attached, and those in which a cannula is provided for the hub and probe to pass through. In these embodiments, the probe can be one that resiliently assumes a bow shape for contacting the intraluminal wall at a point of inflection thereof. A coupler can then be placed at the point of inflection.

In another aspect, the invention includes an apparatus having a cannula and a plurality of probes extending through the cannula. Each probe has an optical fiber extending therethrough, and an atraumatic coupler in communication with the optical fiber. The coupler is configured to atraumatically contact the intraluminal wall.

Some embodiments include a spacer ring attached to each of the probes for maintaining the positions of the probes relative to each other. Others include a hub attached to a distal end of each of the probes.

Another aspect of the invention is a method of detecting vulnerable plaque within an intraluminal wall. The method includes placing an atraumatic light coupler in contact with the intraluminal wall and passing light through the intraluminal wall by way of the atraumatic light coupler. Light from within the intraluminal wall is then recovered by

way of the atraumatic coupler. This light is then provided to a processor for analysis to identify the presence of a vulnerable plaque.

In some practices of the method, placing an atraumatic light coupler in contact with the intraluminal wall includes placing a distal end of a probe in contact with the intraluminal wall. In other practices of the invention, it is a side of the probe that is placed in contact with the intraluminal wall.

Unless otherwise defined, all technical and scientific terms used herein have the same meaning as commonly understood by one of ordinary skill in the art to which this invention belongs. Although methods and materials similar or equivalent to those described herein can be used in the practice or testing of the present invention, suitable methods and materials are described below. All publications, patent applications, patents, and other references mentioned herein are incorporated by reference in their entirety. In case of conflict, the present specification, including definitions, will control. In addition, the materials, methods, and examples are illustrative only and not intended to be limiting.

Other features and advantages of the invention will be apparent from the following detailed description, and from the claims.

#### BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE FIGURES

- FIG. 1 is a schematic diagram of a spectroscope for identifying vulnerable plaque.
- FIG. 2 is a schematic view of a probe in contact with the arterial wall.
- FIG. 3 is a cross-section of the probe of FIG. 2.
- FIGS. 4A-J are exemplary atraumatic light-couplers for an optical fiber.
- FIGS. 5A-F are schematic views of single-probe spectroscopes.
- FIGS. 6A-F are schematic views of multi-probe spectroscopes.
- FIG. 7A is a schematic view of a probe emerging from a cannula having a tapered distal end.

FIG. 7B is a schematic view of a probe emerging from a cannula having a flared distal end.

FIGS. 8A-8F are schematic views of multi-probe spectroscopes in which the atraumatic light-couplers are along the sides of the probes.

FIGS. 8G-K are schematic views of spectroscopes in which the probes are integrated into the cannula.

FIGS. 9A-D are views of exemplary atraumatic light-couplers for the probes in FIGS. 8A-H.

### **DETAILED DESCRIPTION**

FIG. 1 shows a spectroscope 10 for identifying vulnerable plaque 12 in an arterial wall 14 of a patient. The spectroscope features a probe 16 to be inserted into a selected artery, e.g. a coronary artery, of the patient. An optical fiber 18 extends between a distal end and a proximal end of the probe 16.

In a first embodiment, shown in FIGS. 2-3, an atraumatic light-coupler 24 at the distal end of the probe 16 rests on a contact area 26 on the arterial wall 14. When disposed as shown in FIG. 2, the atraumatic light-coupler 24 directs light traveling axially on the fiber 18 to the contact area 26. After leaving the atraumatic light-coupler 24, this light crosses the arterial wall 14 and illuminates structures 28 behind the wall 14. These structures 28 scatter some of the light back to the contact area 26, where it re-emerges through the arterial wall 14. The atraumatic light-coupler 24 collects this re-emergent light and directs it into the fiber 18.

Along a proximal section of the probe 16, as shown in FIG. 3, a rigid tube 38 encasing the fiber 18, enables the probe 16 to be pushed through the artery. Along a central and distal section of the probe 16, a coil wire 44 wound into a flexible coil-wire jacket 46 encases the fiber 18.

The coil wire 44 has a constant diameter along the central section. Along the distal section of the probe 16, the diameter of the coil wire 44 becomes progressively

smaller. As a result, the distal section of the probe 16 is more flexible than its central section. This enhanced flexibility enables the distal section to follow the contour of the wall 14 without exerting unnecessary force against it.

The atraumatic light-coupler 24 can be formed by attaching a lens assembly to a distal tip of the fiber 18, as shown in FIGS. 4A, 4B, and 4E, or by attaching a rounded glass tip to an angled fiber, as shown in FIGS. 4F-G. Alternatively, the atraumatic light-coupler 24 can be made integral with the fiber 18 by smoothing any sharp edges at its distal tip, as shown in FIGS. 4C-D.

In either case, the atraumatic light-coupler 24 can include a spherical lens, as shown in FIG. 4A, or a hemispherical lens, as shown in FIG. 4B. The atraumatic light-coupler 24 can also include more than one lens element, as shown in FIG. 4E.

Alternatively, the atraumatic light-coupler 24 can be integral with the fiber 18. For example, the distal tip of the fiber 18 can be formed into a plane having rounded edges and oriented at an angle relative to the plane of the fiber cross-section, as shown in FIG. 4D, or into a hemisphere, as shown in FIG. 4C.

Referring to FIGS. 4H-4J, in some embodiments, the atraumatic light-coupler 24 includes an attached or integral portion that acts as a beam divergence limiter 30. The beam divergence limiter 30 limits the divergence half-angle  $\theta$  of a beam 32 that is spatially mode-matched to the fiber 18 (e.g., a beam coupled into the fiber, out of the fiber, or both). For example, in FIG. 4I the beam divergence limiter 30 includes a lens 34 (e.g., a graded-index (GRIN) lens) positioned with respect to the end of the fiber 18. The lens 34 has a focal length such that the mode-matched beam profile has a divergence half-angle  $\theta$  that is less than about 10° (or near 0° if the lens 34 is a collimating lens, or less than 0° for a weakly converging beam).

In FIG. 4J the beam divergence limiter 30 includes an adiabatically tapered waveguide segment 36 (e.g., a thermally-expanded core (TEC) fiber segment) to couple a mode from the fiber 18 (e.g., a single mode fiber) to a low order mode of a large core section 38. For a near Gaussian mode shape, the divergence half-angle  $\theta$  of the beam for a

given wavelength of light varies inversely with core size. So the divergence angle  $2\theta$  of the beam 32 mode-matched to the large core section 38 is reduced.

Alternatively, the fiber 18 can be a low numerical aperture (NA) fiber (e.g., a single mode fiber having a small difference between the index of its core and the index of its cladding) that limits the divergence angle 20 or "acceptance angle" without a separate beam divergence limiter 30, as in the configurations shown in FIGS. 4C-4D. For example, the fiber 18 can have a NA that limits the divergence angle 20 to less than about 20°. Other elements can be used, such as a phase screen (or "Kinoform phase plate"), to limit beam divergence. A limited beam divergence using any of these methods is useful, for example, in using the atraumatic light-coupler 24 to perform optical coherence tomography (OCT).

Referring back to FIG. 1, one using the spectroscope 10 positions the atraumatic light-coupler 24 against the arterial wall 14 and engages a motor 49 coupled to the probe 16. The motor 49 rotates the probe 16 at a rate between approximately 1 revolution per second and 400 revolutions per second. This causes the atraumatic light-coupler 24 to trace a path around the inner circumference of the arterial wall 14. As it rotates, the atraumatic light coupler 24 redirects light placed on the fiber 18 by a light source 50, such as a near infrared light source, to the contact area 26. At the same time, the atraumatic light-coupler 24 collects light re-emerging from the contact area 26 and directs it into the fiber 18, which then guides it to a photo-detector 52.

The photo-detector 52 provides an electrical signal indicative of light intensity to an analog-to-digital ("A/D") converter 54. The A/D converter 54 converts this signal into digital data that can be analyzed by a processor 56 to identify the presence of vulnerable plaque hidden beneath the arterial wall 14.

In a second embodiment, shown in FIGS. 5A-C, a probe housing **59** extends through a cannula **60** parallel to, but radially displaced from a longitudinal axis thereof. A probe **16** is kept inside the probe housing **59** until it is ready to be deployed. Extending along the longitudinal axis of the cannula **60** is a guide-wire housing **61** forming a guide-wire lumen through which a guide-wire **63** extends.

The probe 16 can be an optical fiber made of glass or plastic, or a bundle of such fibers. In one embodiment, the probe includes a bundle of 25 optical fibers, each .005 millimeters in diameter. The fiber(s) can be exposed, coated with a protective biocompatible layer and/or a lubricious layer such as polytetrafluoroethylene ("PTFE"), or encased in a coil-wire jacket. The optional coating or jacket around the fiber(s) could be round, and hence bendable in all directions, or flat, so as to suppress bending in undesired directions.

The distal tip of the optical fiber 18 is capped by any of the atraumatic light-couplers 24 discussed above. When the distal end of the cannula 60 is just proximal to contact area 26, the probe 16 is pushed distally so that its distal tip extends past the distal end of the cannula 60. Alternatively, the probe 16 remains stationary while the cannula 60 is retracted, thereby exposing the probe 16.

The probe 16 is pre-formed so that a natural bend urges it outward, away from the axis of the cannula 60. As a result, when the probe 16 is extended out its housing 59 and beyond the distal end of the cannula 60, this natural bend places the atraumatic light-coupler 24 of the fiber 18 in contact with the arterial wall 14 distal to the cannula 60. The probe 16 is then rotated so that the atraumatic light-coupler 24 traces out a circular contact path along an inner circumference of the wall 14, as shown in FIGS. 5A and 5C.

A variety of ways are known for pre-forming a probe 16. For example, the probe 16 can be heated while in the desired shape. Or a coating over the fiber within the probe 16 can be applied and cured while the fiber is in the desired shape.

In a third embodiment, shown in FIGS. 5D-F, the cannula 60 has a proximal section 88 and a distal section 90 separated from each other by a circumferential gap 92. A guide wall 94 forms a truncated cone extending distally from a truncated end joined to the guide-wire housing 59 to a base joined to the distal section 90 of the cannula 60. The guide wall 94 thus serves to maintain the position of the proximal and distal sections 88, 90 of the cannula 60 relative to each other while preserving the circumferential gap 92 all the way around the cannula 60.

In use, the probe 16 is extended distally toward the guide wall 94, which then guides the probe 16 out of the circumferential gap 62. As was the case with the second embodiment (FIGS. 5A-C), the natural bend of the probe 16 urges the atraumatic tip 24 into contact with the arterial wall 14. Once the probe's atraumatic tip 24 contacts the wall 14, the probe 16 is rotated as shown in FIGS. 5D-F so that the atraumatic tip 24 sweeps a circumferential contact path on the arterial wall 14.

In a fourth embodiment, shown in FIGS. 6A-C, several probes 16 of the type discussed above in connection with FIGS. 5A-F pass through the cannula 60 at the same time. Optional spacer rings 64 are attached to the probes 62 at one or more points along their distal sections. The spacer rings 64 can be silicon webbing, plastic, Nitinol, or any other biocompatible material.

When deployed, the spacer rings 64 are oriented so as to lie in a plane perpendicular to the longitudinal axis of the cannula 60. The spacer rings 64 thus maintain the relative positions of the probes 16 during scanning of the wall 14. A multiprobe embodiment as shown in FIGS. 6A-C enables most of the circumference of an arterial wall 14 to be examined without having to rotate the probes 16.

In a fifth embodiment, shown in FIGS. 6D-F, the cannula 60 is as described in connection with the third embodiment (FIGS. 5D-F). The difference between this fifth embodiment and the third embodiment (FIGS. 5D-F) is that in the third embodiment, a single probe 16 extends through the circumferential gap 92, whereas in this fifth embodiment, several probes 16 circumferentially offset from one another extend through the circumferential gap 92. As a result, in the third embodiment, it is necessary to rotate the probe 16 to inspect the entire circumference of the arterial wall 14, whereas in the fifth embodiment, one can inspect most of the arterial wall 14 circumference without having to rotate the probes 16 at all.

In a sixth embodiment, a cannula 60 has a tapered distal end 68, as shown in FIG. 7A, or a flared distal end 70, as shown in FIG. 7B. A channel 72 formed in the inner wall of the cannula 60 has a bend 74 proximal to an opening 76 at the distal end. This opening 76 defines a surface whose normal vector has both a radial component and an

longitudinal component.

One operating the embodiments of FIGS. 7A and 7B pushes the probe 16 through the channel 72, which then guides it toward the opening 72. As the probe 16 exits the channel 72, it proceeds in the direction of the normal vector until its atraumatic light-coupler 24 contacts the arterial wall 14. In this case, the probe 16 need not be pre-formed to have a preferred shape since the channel 72 guides the probe 16 in the correct direction for reaching the wall 14.

In a seventh embodiment, shown in FIGS. 8A-B, a plurality of probes 16 passes through a cannula 60. The distal ends of the probes 16 are attached to anchor points circumferentially distributed around a hub 78. The hub 78 is coupled to a control wire 80 that enables it to be moved along the longitudinal axis of the cannula 60 to either deploy the probes 16 (FIG. 8A) or to retract the probes 16 (FIG. 8B). However, in other embodiments, the hub 78 remains stationary and it is the cannula 60 that is moved proximally and distally to either deploy or recover the probes 16.

The probes 16 are pre-formed to bow outward as shown in FIG. 8A so as to contact the arterial wall 14 at an intermediate point between the hub 78 and the cannula 60. Optional spacer rings 64, like those discussed in connection with FIGS. 6A-C, are attached to the probes 16 at one or more points along their distal sections to maintain their relative positions. In this seventh embodiment, the atraumatic light-coupler 24 includes a side-window 82 located at the intermediate point. The side window 82 faces radially outward so that when the probe 16 is fully deployed, the side window 82 atraumatically contacts the arterial wall 14.

An atraumatic light-coupler 24 for placement along the side of the probe 16 includes a right-angle reflector 84, such as a prism or mirror, placed in optical communication between the fiber 18 and the side window 82, as shown in FIG. 9B. Alternatively, an air gap 86 is placed in optical communication between the tip of an angle polished fiber 18 and the side-window 82, as shown in FIG. 9A.

FIGS. 9C-9D shows additional examples of atraumatic light-couplers 24 for

placement along the side of the probe 16. In these examples, the side window 82 is formed by a portion of the fiber's cladding that is thin enough to allow passage of light. The side window 82 can be left exposed, as shown in FIG. 9C, or a diffraction grating 85 can be placed in optical communication with the side window 82 to further control the direction of the beam, as shown in FIG. 9C.

When the hub 78 and the cannula 60 are drawn together, as shown in FIG. 8B, they can easily be guided to a location of interest. Once the hub 78 and cannula 60 reach a location of interest, one either advances the hub 78 or retracts the cannula 60. In either case, the probes 16 are released from the confines of the cannula 60, as shown in FIG. 8A. Once free of the radially restraining force applied by the cannula's inner wall, the probes 16 assume their natural shape, bowing outward, as shown in FIG. 8B, so that their respective side-windows 82 atraumatically contact the arterial wall 14. The atraumatic light-couplers 24 guide light from the light source 50 through the side windows 82. At the same time, the atraumatic light-couplers 24 recover re-emergent light from the wall 14 through the side windows 82 and pass it into the fibers 16, which guide that light to the photo-detector 52.

When the examination of the wall 14 is complete, the hub 78 and cannula 60 are brought back together, as shown in FIG. 8B, and the probes 16 are once again confined inside the cannula 60.

In an eighth embodiment, shown in FIGS. 8C-D, the cannula 60 has a proximal section 88 and a distal section 90 separated by a circumferential gap 92, as described in connection with the third embodiment (FIGS. 5D-F) and the fifth embodiment (FIGS. 6D-F). Unlike the third and fifth embodiments, in which the distal tips of the probes 16 atraumatically contact the wall 14, in the eighth embodiment the distal tips of the probes 16 are attached to a hub 78 at the distal section 90 of the cannula 60. Like the probes 16 of the seventh embodiment, the probes 16 of the eighth embodiment have side windows 82 at intermediate points for atraumatically contacting the arterial wall 14. An actuator (not shown) is mechanically coupled to selectively apply tension to the probes 16. When the probes 16 are under tension, they lie against the distal section 90 of the cannula 60, as

shown in FIG. 8D. When probes 16 are relaxed, they spring radially outward, away from the distal section 90, enough so that the side windows 82 at the intermediate sections atraumatically contact the arterial wall 14.

In use, the cannula 60 is guided to a region of interest with the probes 16 placed under tension. The probes 16 are thus drawn against the cannula 60, as shown in FIG. 8B. Once at the region of interest, the tension is released, and the probes 16 spring radially outward, as shown in FIG. 8A, so that the side windows 82 atraumatically contact the wall 14. After data collection, the probes 16 are again placed under tension to draw them back against the cannula 60, as shown in FIG. 8B.

In the seventh and eighth embodiments, a particular probe 16 emerges from the cannula 60 at an exit point and re-attaches to the hub 78 at an anchor point. In a cylindrical coordinate system centered on the axis of the cannula 60, the exit point and the anchor point have different axial coordinates but the same angular coordinate. However, as FIGS. 8E and 8F illustrate, this need not be the case.

FIG. 8E shows a ninth embodiment in which a cannula 60 has a plurality of exit holes 96 and a corresponding plurality of entry holes 98. Each probe 16 exits the cannula 60 through an exit hole 96 and re-enters the cannula 60 through an entry hole 96 that is circumferentially offset from its corresponding exit hole. This results in the helical arrangement shown in FIG. 8E. The extent of the circumferential offset defines the pitch of the helix.

The distal ends of the probe 16 are attached to a hub 78 (not shown) inside the cannula 60. Each probe 16 has a side window 82 between the exit hole and the corresponding entry hole. A control wire 80 within the cannula 60 (not shown) deploys the probes 16, as shown, or retracts them so that they rest against the exterior of the cannula 60. A guide-wire 63 passing through the cannula 60 and exiting out the distal tip thereof enables the cannula 60 to be guided to a region of interest.

FIG. 8F shows a tenth embodiment in which a cannula 60 has a distal section 88 and a proximal section 90. The proximal and distal sections of the cannula 60 surround a

central shaft 100 having an exposed portion 102. Probes 16 extend axially through a gap between the shaft and the cannula 60. The probes 16 are anchored at their distal ends at circumferentially displaced anchor points on a hub 78 attached to the shaft 100. The circumferential offset causes the helical configuration of the probes 16 in FIG. 8F. The extent of this circumferential offset defines a pitch of the helix.

An actuator (not shown) selectively applies tension to the probes 16. When the probes 16 are under tension, they retract against the exposed portion 102 of the central shaft 100. When the probes 16 are relaxed, they assume the configuration shown in FIG. 8F, in which they spring radially outward from the exposed portion 102 of the central shaft 100 so that their side windows 82 atraumatically contact the arterial wall 14.

In the embodiments described thus far, the probes 16 and the cannula 60 have been separate structures. However, the probes 16 can also be integrated, or otherwise embedded in the cannula 60. In this case, portions of the cannula 60 extend radially outward to contact the arterial wall 14.

FIGS. 8G and 8H show an eleventh embodiment in a deployed and retracted state, respectively. The eleventh embodiment includes slots 104 cut into the wall of the cannula 60 enclosing an internal shaft 100. Pairs of adjacent slots 104 define probe portions 16 of the cannula 60. The probe portions 16 buckle outward when the distal tip of the cannula 60 is pulled proximally, as shown in FIG. 8G. When the distal tip of the cannula 60 is extended, the probe portions 16 lay flat against the shaft 100, as shown in FIG. 8H.

Each probe portion 16 has a side window 82 for atraumatically contacting the wall 14 when the probe portion 16 is deployed. The side window 82 is in optical communication with an atraumatic coupler 24. An optical fiber embedded within the wall of the cannula 60 provides an optical path to and from the atraumatic coupler 24.

FIGS. 8I-J show a twelfth embodiment in a deployed and retracted state. The twelfth embodiment includes slots 104 cut into the wall of the cannula 60 enclosing an internal shaft 100. Unlike the slots 104 in the eleventh embodiment, the slots 104 in the twelfth embodiment extend all the way to the distal tip of the cannula. Pairs of adjacent

slots 104 define probe portions 16 of the cannula 60.

As shown in the cross-section of FIG. 8K, the cannula 60 includes radially-inward projections 106 forming a throat 110. The shaft 100 has a bulbous portion 112 distal to the throat 110 and a straight portion 114 extending proximally through the throat 110 to join the bulbous portion 112. The probe portions 16 are biased to rest against the bulbous portion 112 of the shaft 100, as shown in FIG. 8I. When the shaft 100 is drawn proximally, the bulbous portion 112 wedges against the projections 106. This forces the probe-portions 16 to pivot radially outward, as shown in FIG. 8J.

Each probe portion 16 has an atraumatic coupler 24 at its distal tip for atraumatically contacting the wall 14 when the probe portion 16 is deployed. An optical fiber embedded within the wall of the cannula 60 provides an optical path to and from the atraumatic coupler 24.

#### OTHER EMBODIMENTS

It is to be understood that while the invention has been described in conjunction with the detailed description thereof, the foregoing description is intended to illustrate and not limit the scope of the invention, which is defined by the scope of the appended claims. Other aspects, advantages, and modifications are within the scope of the following claims.

#### **CLAIMS**

1. An apparatus for detecting vulnerable plaque within a lumen defined by an intraluminal wall, the apparatus comprising:

a probe having

an optical fiber extending therethrough, and

an atraumatic light-coupler in optical communication with the optical fiber, the coupler being configured to atraumatically contact the intraluminal wall;

a light source in optical communication with the fiber for illuminating the wall; and

a detector in optical communication with the fiber for detecting light from within the wall.

- 2. The apparatus of claim 1, wherein the probe further comprises a jacket enclosing the fiber.
- 3. The apparatus of claim 2, wherein the jacket comprises a coil-wire wound into a coil-wire jacket.
- 4. The apparatus of claim 3, wherein the jacket comprises a coil wire having a variable diameter.
- 5. The apparatus of claim 1, wherein the probe comprises a plurality of optical fibers.
- 6. The apparatus of claim 1, wherein the probe resiliently assumes a preferred shape.
- 7. The apparatus of claim 6, wherein the preferred shape comprises a bow.
- 8. The apparatus of claim 6, wherein the preferred shape comprises an arc.

9. The apparatus of claim 6, wherein the preferred shape comprises a portion of a catenary curve.

- 10. The apparatus of claim 1, wherein the atraumatic coupler is disposed at a distal tip of the probe.
- 11. The apparatus of claim 10, wherein the atraumatic coupler comprises a lens attached to the distal tip of the optical fiber.
- 12. The apparatus of claim 11, wherein the lens has a focal length that limits the divergence angle of a beam mode-matched to the optical fiber.
- 13. The apparatus of claim 12, wherein the lens has a focal length that limits the divergence angle to an angle less than about 20 degrees.
- 14. The apparatus of claim 12, wherein the lens comprises a collimating lens.
- 15. The apparatus of claim 10, wherein the atraumatic coupler comprises a divergence limiter attached to the distal tip of the optical fiber.
- 16. The apparatus of claim 15, wherein the divergence limiter comprises a thermally-expanded fiber core section of the optical fiber.
- 17. The apparatus of claim 10, wherein the atraumatic coupler is integral with the optical fiber.
- 18. The apparatus of claim 17, wherein the atraumatic coupler comprises a distal tip of the optical fiber.
- 19. The apparatus of claim 18, wherein the optical fiber has an acceptance angle smaller than about 20 degrees.
- 20. The apparatus of claim 1, wherein the atraumatic coupler is disposed along a side of the probe.

21. The apparatus of claim 20, wherein the atraumatic coupler comprises a window along a side of the probe.

- 22. The apparatus of claim 21, further comprising a diffraction grating in optical communication with the window.
- 23. The apparatus of claim 20, wherein the atraumatic coupler comprises:
  - a window along a side of the probe, and
  - a beam re-director providing optical communication between the window and a distal tip of the fiber.
- 24. The apparatus of claim 23, wherein the beam re-director comprises a prism.
- 25. The apparatus of claim 20, wherein the atraumatic optical coupler comprises:
  - a window along the side of the probe, and
  - a distal face of the optical fiber, the face being oriented to provide optical communication with the window.
- 26. The apparatus of claim 1, wherein the light source comprises a near infrared light source.
- 27. The apparatus of claim 1, further comprising a processor in data communication with the detector, the processor being configured to identify a vulnerable plaque on the basis of a signal provided by the detector.
- 28. The apparatus of claim 1, further comprising a cannula through which the probe passes.
- 29. The apparatus of claim 28, wherein the probe is integral with the cannula.

30. The apparatus of claim 28, wherein the optical fiber is embedded within the cannula.

- 31. The apparatus of claim 28, wherein the cannula comprises walls forming a channel through which the probe passes, the channel being conformal to the cannula.
- 32. The apparatus of claim 31, wherein the cannula has a tapered distal opening such that the channel has an opening facing a longitudinal axis of the cannula.
- 33. The apparatus of claim 31, wherein the cannula has a flared distal opening such that the channel has an opening facing away from a longitudinal axis of the cannula.
- 34. The apparatus of claim 1, further comprising a hub to which a distal end of the probe is attached.
- 35. The apparatus of claim 34, further comprising a cannula through which the hub and the probe pass.
- 36. The apparatus of claim 35, wherein the probe resiliently assumes a bow shape for contacting the intraluminal wall at a point of inflection thereof.
- 37. The apparatus of claim 36, wherein the coupler is disposed at the point of inflection.
- 38. The apparatus of claim 1, further comprising a spacer attached to the probe for maintaining a preferred relative position of the probe.
- 39. An apparatus for detecting vulnerable plaque within a lumen defined by an intraluminal wall, the apparatus comprising:

a cannula having a longitudinal axis;

a plurality of probes extending through the cannula, each probe having

an optical fiber extending therethrough, and

an atraumatic light-coupler in optical communication with the optical fiber, the coupler being configured to atraumatically contact the intraluminal wall.

- 40. The apparatus of claim 39, further comprising a spacer ring attached to each of the probes for maintaining the positions of the probes relative to each other.
- 41. The apparatus of claim 39, further comprising a hub attached to a distal end of each of the probes.
- 42. The apparatus of claim 41, wherein the distal end of the probe is attached to the hub at an anchor point that is circumferentially offset from a proximal portion of the probe.
- 43. The apparatus of claim 41, further comprising a spacer ring attached to each of the probes for maintaining the positions of the probes relative to each other.
- 44. The apparatus of claim 41, wherein each of the probes resiliently assumes a bow shape having a point of inflection between the hub and the cannula.
- 45. The apparatus of claim 39, wherein each of the probes resiliently assumes a desired shape.
- 46. The apparatus of claim 39, wherein the atraumatic coupler comprises means for providing optical communication between the optical fiber and the intraluminal wall.

47. The apparatus of claim 39, wherein at least one of the plurality of probes is integral with the cannula.

- 48. The apparatus of claim 39, wherein the optical fiber is embedded within the cannula.
- 49. A method of detecting vulnerable plaque within an intraluminal wall, the method comprising:

placing an atraumatic light coupler in contact with the intraluminal wall;

passing light through the intraluminal wall by way of the atraumatic light coupler;

receiving light from within the intraluminal wall by way of the atraumatic coupler; and

providing the received light to a processor for analysis to identify the presence of a vulnerable plaque.

- 50. The method of claim 49, wherein placing an atraumatic light coupler in contact with the intraluminal wall comprises placing a distal end of a probe in contact with the intraluminal wall.
- 51. The method of claim 49, wherein placing an atraumatic light coupler in contact with the intraluminal wall comprises placing a side of a probe in contact with the intraluminal wall.
- 52. An apparatus for detecting vulnerable plaque within a lumen defined by an intraluminal wall, the apparatus comprising:

a probe having

an optical fiber extending therethrough, and

means for atraumatically contacting the intraluminal wall, the contacting means including means for providing optical communication with the intraluminal wall;

- a light source in optical communication with the fiber for illuminating the wall; and
- a detector in optical communication with the fiber for detecting light from within the wall.
- 53. The apparatus of claim 52, wherein the means for atraumatically contacting the intraluminal wall comprises a rounded surface at a distal tip of the probe.
- 54. The apparatus of claim 53, wherein the rounded surface comprises a surface of a lens attached to the fiber.
- 55. The apparatus of claim 54, wherein the means for providing optical communication comprises the lens.
- 56. The apparatus of claim 53, wherein the rounded surface comprises a surface of the fiber.
- 57. The apparatus of claim 49, wherein the means for providing optical communication comprises the fiber.
- 58. The apparatus of claim 52, wherein the means for atraumatically contacting the intraluminal wall comprises a side-window along a side of the probe.
- 59. The apparatus of claim 58, wherein the means for providing optical communication comprises a reflective surface in optical communication with the side-window and with a face of the fiber.

60. The apparatus of claim 58, wherein the means for providing optical communication comprises an angled face of the fiber.

61. The apparatus of claim 58, wherein the means for providing optical communication comprises a diffraction grating in optical communication with the side-window and with the fiber.





FIG. 1







FIG. 3






FIG. 4B




FIG. 4C




FIG. 4D




FIG. 4E




FIG.4F




FIG. 4G




FIG. 4H




FIG. 4I





FIG. 4J

5F**⊸** 



28-

FIG. 5D




FIG. 5E





FIG. 5F






FIG. 6B








FIG. 6C












FIG. 8B




FIG. 8C





FIG. 8D






FIG. 8G





FIG. 8H






FIG. 8K




FIG. 9A




FIG. 9B




FIG. 9C




FIG. 9D

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

Category* Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Relevant to claim No.  X US 6,485,413 B1 (BOPPART et al) 26 November 2002 (26.11.2002), see Figure 18 and 1-61  Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.  Special categories of cited documents:  A document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance:  E earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date of particular relevance:  E earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date of particular relevance: the claimed invention cannot be considered lowel or cause considered in which its clied to establish the publication date of another claition or other special reason (as specified)  O document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means  P document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date chimed  To document published prior to the international search  O September 2004 (30.09.2004)  Authorized officer  Eleni Manits Mercader  See patent family annex.  See patent family	IPC(7) : A61B 5/00 US CL : 600/478 According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both nat B. FIELDS SEARCHED  Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by U.S.: 600/114, 104, 473, 476, 478; 604/22, 164.01, 164.02; 3  Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the e  Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name west)  C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT  Category * Citation of document, with indication, where app  X US 6,485,413 B1 (BOPPART et al) 26 November 200 col. 28, line 51-col. 30, line 56.  Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.  * Special categories of cited documents:  "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance  "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance  "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance  "C" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date  "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to	classification symbols) 35/12  Stent that such documents are included in the fields searched  f data base and, where practicable, search terms used)  opriate, of the relevant passages  Relevant to claim No.
US CL : 6000/478 According to the international Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC  B. FIELDS SEARCHED  Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)  U.S.: 600/114, 104, 473, 476, 478; 604/22, 164.01, 164.02; 385/12  Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched  Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)  Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)  Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)  Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)  Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)  Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)  WEST  C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT  Category *  Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages  Relevant to claim No.  10. 26, line 51-col. 30, line 56.  The production of continuation of Box C.  See patent family annex.  The document of continuation of Box C.  See patent family annex.  The document of continuation or patent published on or after the international fling date or priority and an area of continued to involve an inventor state and continued to continue the continuation of the international fling date but like that all the claim of causing deficient on the continuation of the international fling date but like that the man the published prior to the international fling date but like that all the causing obligation and the officient continue to condident do involve an inventor step when the documen	US CL: 600/478 According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both nat B. FIELDS SEARCHED  Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by U.S.: 600/114, 104, 473, 476, 478; 604/22, 164.01, 164.02; 3  Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the electronic data base consulted during the international search (name WEST  C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Category * Citation of document, with indication, where app X  US 6,485,413 B1 (BOPPART et al) 26 November 200 col. 28, line 51-col. 30, line 56.  Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.  * Special categories of cited documents:  "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance  "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance  "C" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date  "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to	classification symbols) 35/12  Stent that such documents are included in the fields searched  f data base and, where practicable, search terms used)  opriate, of the relevant passages  Relevant to claim No.
According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC  B. FIELDS SEARCHED  Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)  U.S.: 600/114, 104, 473, 476, 478; 604/22, 164.01, 164.02; 385/12  Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched with the search of	According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both nat B. FIELDS SEARCHED  Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by U.S.: 600/114, 104, 473, 476, 478; 604/22, 164.01, 164.02; 3  Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the electronic data base consulted during the international search (name WEST  C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT  Category * Citation of document, with indication, where app WEST  X US 6,485,413 B1 (BOPPART et al) 26 November 200 col. 28, line 51-col. 30, line 56.	classification symbols) 35/12  Stent that such documents are included in the fields searched  f data base and, where practicable, search terms used)  opriate, of the relevant passages  Relevant to claim No.
Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)  U.S.: 600/114, 104, 473, 476, 478; 604/22, 164.01, 164.02; 385/12  Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched  Effectionic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)  Effectionic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)  WEST  C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT  Category * Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Relevant to claim No.  I US 6,483,413 BI (BOPPART et al) 26 November 2002 (26.11.2002), see Figure 18 and col. 2.8, line 51-col. 30, line 56.  Special categories of cited document:  A document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be orabid the potentian due of bander citation or other means document updation or patent published on or after the international learned to enable the problems due of on about extention of the content of the same patent flored in the continuation of the content of the same patent flored be of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be orabid the potentian due or observed in the content of the same patent flored be confidered to involve an inventive septiment of the same patent flored be contented to the owner of the same patent flored becoment in teacher and prevents; the charmed invention cannot be orabid the problems due to on about the content of the same patent flored becoment in teacher and problems of the same transcription of the same patent flored becoment in patient produces and problems of the same patent flored becoment in patient produces and problems of the same patent flored becoment of patients relevance; the chaimed invention cannot be orabid the problems of the same patent flored become the patient problems,	Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by U.S.: 600/114, 104, 473, 476, 478; 604/22, 164.01, 164.02; 3  Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the electronic data base consulted during the international search (name WEST  C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT  Category * Citation of document, with indication, where app WEST  X US 6,485,413 B1 (BOPPART et al) 26 November 200 col. 28, line 51-col. 30, line 56.  Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.  * Special categories of cited documents:  "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance  "E" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date  "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to	classification symbols) 35/12  Stent that such documents are included in the fields searched  f data base and, where practicable, search terms used)  opriate, of the relevant passages  Relevant to claim No.
Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)  U.S.: 600/114, 104, 473, 476, 478; 604/22, 164.01, 164.02; 385/12  Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched with the search of the state of t	Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by U.S.: 600/114, 104, 473, 476, 478; 604/22, 164.01, 164.02; 3  Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the electronic data base consulted during the international search (name west)  C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT  Category * Citation of document, with indication, where app X  US 6,485,413 B1 (BOPPART et al) 26 November 200 col. 28, line 51-col. 30, line 56.  * Special categories of cited documents:  "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance  "E" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filling date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to	stent that such documents are included in the fields searched  f data base and, where practicable, search terms used)  opriate, of the relevant passages  Relevant to claim No.
Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched  Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)  Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)  Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)  Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)  Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)  Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)  Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)  Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)  Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)  Electronic data base consulted during the international fling date or priority data for the international fling date or priority data for practical prevance or provided and or priority data for priority data for which is cited to be observed and to consider the publicable date rise international fling date but is cited to be observed and not or observed the publicable date international pling date but is cited to be observed and not one of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered but on the priority date international plants of the international search report  19 OCT 2004  Authorized officer  Eleni Martis Mercader	Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the e  Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name west  C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT  Category * Citation of document, with indication, where app  X US 6,485,413 Bl (BOPPART et al) 26 November 200 col. 28, line 51-col. 30, line 56.  Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.  * Special categories of cited documents:  "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance  "E" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date  "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to	stent that such documents are included in the fields searched  f data base and, where practicable, search terms used)  opriate, of the relevant passages  Relevant to claim No.
Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched  Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)  Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)  Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)  Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)  Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)  Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)  Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)  Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)  Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)  Electronic data base consulted during the international fling date or priority data for the international fling date or priority data for practical prevance or provided and or priority data for priority data for which is cited to be observed and to consider the publicable date rise international fling date but is cited to be observed and not or observed the publicable date international pling date but is cited to be observed and not one of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered but on the priority date international plants of the international search report  19 OCT 2004  Authorized officer  Eleni Martis Mercader	Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the e  Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name west  C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT  Category * Citation of document, with indication, where app  X US 6,485,413 B1 (BOPPART et al) 26 November 200 col. 28, line 51-col. 30, line 56.  Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.  * Special categories of cited documents:  "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance  "E" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date  "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to	stent that such documents are included in the fields searched  f data base and, where practicable, search terms used)  opriate, of the relevant passages  Relevant to claim No.
Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)  Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)  Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)  Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)  Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)  Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)  Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)  Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)  Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)  Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)  Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)  Electronic data base consulted in the fields search terms used)  Electronic data base consulted in the fields search terms used)  Electronic data base consulted family and the fields as grindly and to a field and on the fields as grindly and and on the international family data and not incoming with the application but the paper of the internation but the application but the pa	Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the electronic data base consulted during the international search (name WEST  C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Category * Citation of document, with indication, where app X	stent that such documents are included in the fields searched  f data base and, where practicable, search terms used)  opriate, of the relevant passages  Relevant to claim No.
Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)  Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)  Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)  Electronic data base consulted during the international of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages  Relevant to claim No.  Special categories of cited documents:  Special categories of cited documents:  A** document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance and the principle or incorporation or patent published on or after the international filing date or priority claim(s) or which is cited to exabilith the publication of another citation or other special reason (as appecified)  Comment published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority deciment process or person skilled in the art document and provide an inventive step when the document is taken alone or person skilled in the art document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority deciment process or person skilled in the art document and provide an inventive and process or person skilled in the art document and provided and p	Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name WEST  C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Category * Citation of document, with indication, where app X US 6,485,413 B1 (BOPPART et al) 26 November 200 col. 28, line 51-col. 30,line 56.  Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.  * Special categories of cited documents:  "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance  "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance  "E" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date  "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to	f data base and, where practicable, search terms used)  opriate, of the relevant passages Relevant to claim No.
Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)  Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)  Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)  Electronic data base consulted during the international of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages  Relevant to claim No.  Special categories of cited documents:  Special categories of cited documents:  A** document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance and the principle or incorporation or patent published on or after the international filing date or priority claim(s) or which is cited to exabilith the publication of another citation or other special reason (as appecified)  Comment published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority deciment process or person skilled in the art document and provide an inventive step when the document is taken alone or person skilled in the art document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority deciment process or person skilled in the art document and provide an inventive and process or person skilled in the art document and provided and p	Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name WEST  C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Category * Citation of document, with indication, where app X US 6,485,413 B1 (BOPPART et al) 26 November 200 col. 28, line 51-col. 30,line 56.  Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.  * Special categories of cited documents:  "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance  "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance  "E" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date  "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to	f data base and, where practicable, search terms used)  opriate, of the relevant passages Relevant to claim No.
Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)  Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)  Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)  Electronic data base consulted during the international of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages  Relevant to claim No.  Special categories of cited documents:  Special categories of cited documents:  A** document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance and the principle or incorporation or patent published on or after the international filing date or priority claim(s) or which is cited to exabilith the publication of another citation or other special reason (as appecified)  Comment published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority deciment process or person skilled in the art document and provide an inventive step when the document is taken alone or person skilled in the art document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority deciment process or person skilled in the art document and provide an inventive and process or person skilled in the art document and provided and p	Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name WEST  C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Category * Citation of document, with indication, where app X US 6,485,413 B1 (BOPPART et al) 26 November 200 col. 28, line 51-col. 30, line 56.  Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.  * Special categories of cited documents:  "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance  "E" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to	f data base and, where practicable, search terms used)  opriate, of the relevant passages Relevant to claim No.
C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Category* Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Relevant to claim No.  US 6,485,418 B (BOPPART et al) 26 November 2002 (26.11.2002), see Figure 18 and 1-61  Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.  Special categories of cited documents:  A* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance are carrier application or patent published on or after the international filing date and not in conflict with the application but tied to understand the principle or the town underlying the window of particular relevance. The claimed invention cannot be considered to principle or the principle or the order of particular intervance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to principle or allowed and not in conflict with the application cannot be considered or particular intervance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to principle or allowed an inventive step when the document is factor along the principle or allowed an inventive step when the document is factor along the principle or distribution or other means of document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means document of the actual completion of the international fling date but tater than the principle or the principle or the same patent family  Date of the actual completion of the international search  O Experember 2004 (30.09.2004)  Authorized officer  Fileni Mantis Mercader  See patent family annex.  See patent family annex.  Is and document and the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application and the tieternational filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application and the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to priority with the principle of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to prior	C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT  Category * Citation of document, with indication, where app  X US 6,485,413 B1 (BOPPART et al) 26 November 200 col. 28, line 51-col. 30, line 56.  Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.  * Special categories of cited documents:  "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance  "E" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date  "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to	opriate, of the relevant passages Relevant to claim No.
C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Category* Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Relevant to claim No.  US 6,485,418 B (BOPPART et al) 26 November 2002 (26.11.2002), see Figure 18 and 1-61  Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.  Special categories of cited documents:  A* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance are carrier application or patent published on or after the international filing date and not in conflict with the application but tied to understand the principle or the town underlying the window of particular relevance. The claimed invention cannot be considered to principle or the principle or the order of particular intervance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to principle or allowed and not in conflict with the application cannot be considered or particular intervance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to principle or allowed an inventive step when the document is factor along the principle or allowed an inventive step when the document is factor along the principle or distribution or other means of document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means document of the actual completion of the international fling date but tater than the principle or the principle or the same patent family  Date of the actual completion of the international search  O Experember 2004 (30.09.2004)  Authorized officer  Fileni Mantis Mercader  See patent family annex.  See patent family annex.  Is and document and the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application and the tieternational filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application and the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to priority with the principle of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to prior	C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT  Category * Citation of document, with indication, where app  X US 6,485,413 B1 (BOPPART et al) 26 November 200  col. 28, line 51-col. 30, line 56.  Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.  * Special categories of cited documents:  "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance  "E" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date  "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to	opriate, of the relevant passages Relevant to claim No.
C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Category* Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Relevant to claim No.  US 6,485,418 B (BOPPART et al) 26 November 2002 (26.11.2002), see Figure 18 and 1-61  Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.  Special categories of cited documents:  A* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance are carrier application or patent published on or after the international filing date and not in conflict with the application but tied to understand the principle or the town underlying the window of particular relevance. The claimed invention cannot be considered to principle or the principle or the order of particular intervance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to principle or allowed and not in conflict with the application cannot be considered or particular intervance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to principle or allowed an inventive step when the document is factor along the principle or allowed an inventive step when the document is factor along the principle or distribution or other means of document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means document of the actual completion of the international fling date but tater than the principle or the principle or the same patent family  Date of the actual completion of the international search  O Experember 2004 (30.09.2004)  Authorized officer  Fileni Mantis Mercader  See patent family annex.  See patent family annex.  Is and document and the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application and the tieternational filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application and the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to priority with the principle of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to prior	C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT  Category * Citation of document, with indication, where app  X US 6,485,413 B1 (BOPPART et al) 26 November 200 col. 28, line 51-col. 30, line 56.  Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.  * Special categories of cited documents:  "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance  "E" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date  "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to	opriate, of the relevant passages Relevant to claim No.
C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Category* Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Relevant to claim No.  US 6,485,418 B (BOPPART et al) 26 November 2002 (26.11.2002), see Figure 18 and 1-61  Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.  Special categories of cited documents:  A* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance are carrier application or patent published on or after the international filing date and not in conflict with the application but tied to understand the principle or the town underlying the window of particular relevance. The claimed invention cannot be considered to principle or the principle or the order of particular intervance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to principle or allowed and not in conflict with the application cannot be considered or particular intervance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to principle or allowed an inventive step when the document is factor along the principle or allowed an inventive step when the document is factor along the principle or distribution or other means of document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means document of the actual completion of the international fling date but tater than the principle or the principle or the same patent family  Date of the actual completion of the international search  O Experember 2004 (30.09.2004)  Authorized officer  Fileni Mantis Mercader  See patent family annex.  See patent family annex.  Is and document and the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application and the tieternational filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application and the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to priority with the principle of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to prior	C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT  Category * Citation of document, with indication, where app  X US 6,485,413 B1 (BOPPART et al) 26 November 200  col. 28, line 51-col. 30, line 56.  Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.  * Special categories of cited documents:  "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance  "E" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date  "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to	opriate, of the relevant passages Relevant to claim No.
Category *  Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages  Relevant to claim No.  US 6,485,413 B1 (BOPPART et al) 26 November 2002 (26.11.2002), see Figure 18 and  1-61  Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.  Special categories of cited documents  Special categories of cited documents  Ar document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance:  E** carrier application or patent published on or after the international filing date of easibilish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as eposited)  Or document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to easibilish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as eposited)  Or document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means of considered in two the international filing date but later than the priority date chaimed  Ose premiber 2004 (30.09.2004)  The control of particular relevance: the chaimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is extent adone document and particular relevance: the chaimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is considered with one or most proof when the considered to involve an inventive step when the document is considered with one or most proof when the considered one of particular relevance: the chaimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is considered with one or most proof when the formation of particular relevance: the chaimed invention cannot be considered to involve and invention cannot be considered to inv	Category * Citation of document, with indication, where app  X US 6,485,413 B1 (BOPPART et al) 26 November 200 col. 28, line 51-col. 30, line 56.  Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.  * Special categories of cited documents:  "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance  "C" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date  "C" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to	(26.11.0000)
Category *  Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages  Relevant to claim No.  US 6,485,413 B1 (BOPPART et al) 26 November 2002 (26.11.2002), see Figure 18 and  1-61  Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.  Special categories of cited documents  Special categories of cited documents  Ar document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance:  E** carrier application or patent published on or after the international filing date of easibilish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as eposited)  Or document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to easibilish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as eposited)  Or document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means of considered in two the international filing date but later than the priority date chaimed  Ose premiber 2004 (30.09.2004)  The control of particular relevance: the chaimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is extent adone document and particular relevance: the chaimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is considered with one or most proof when the considered to involve an inventive step when the document is considered with one or most proof when the considered one of particular relevance: the chaimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is considered with one or most proof when the formation of particular relevance: the chaimed invention cannot be considered to involve and invention cannot be considered to inv	Category * Citation of document, with indication, where app  X US 6,485,413 B1 (BOPPART et al) 26 November 200 col. 28, line 51-col. 30, line 56.  Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.  * Special categories of cited documents:  "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance  "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance  "C" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date  "C" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to	(26.11.0000)
Category *  Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages  Relevant to claim No.  US 6,485,413 B1 (BOPPART et al) 26 November 2002 (26.11.2002), see Figure 18 and  1-61  Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.  Special categories of cited documents  Special categories of cited documents  Ar document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance:  E** carrier application or patent published on or after the international filing date of easibilish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as eposited)  Or document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to easibilish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as eposited)  Or document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means of considered in two the international filing date but later than the priority date chaimed  Ose premiber 2004 (30.09.2004)  The control of particular relevance: the chaimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is extent adone document and particular relevance: the chaimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is considered with one or most proof when the considered to involve an inventive step when the document is considered with one or most proof when the considered one of particular relevance: the chaimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is considered with one or most proof when the formation of particular relevance: the chaimed invention cannot be considered to involve and invention cannot be considered to inv	Category * Citation of document, with indication, where app  X US 6,485,413 B1 (BOPPART et al) 26 November 200 col. 28, line 51-col. 30, line 56.  Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.  * Special categories of cited documents:  "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance  "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance  "B" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date  "C" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to	(26.11.0000)
See patent family annex.   See patent family annex.	With the second of the second of particular relevance  "E"  US 6,485,413 B1 (BOPPART et al) 26 November 200 col. 28, line 51-col. 30, line 56.  Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.  *  Special categories of cited documents:  "A"  document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance  "E"  earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date  "L"  document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to	(26.11.0000)
Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.  Special categories of cited documents.  Special categories of cited documents.  To document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance that published on or after the international filing date of particular relevance to esablish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)  document which may throw doubs on priority claim(s) or which is cited to esablish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)  document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means of document published prior to the laternational filing date to take the publication of the international filing date to considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone "V" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means of document published prior to the laternational filing date but later than the priority date claimed  Date of the actual completion of the international search  O September 2004 (30.09.2004)  Barne and mailing address of the ISA/US  Mail Stop PCT, Attr.: ISA/US  Commissioner for Patents  See patent family annex.  Inter document amily annex.  Ister document amily manex.  Ister document menubles differ the international filing date or priority date document in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the international document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered not or central to excursion to the invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is commissed to involve an inventive step when the document is commissed to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone  "V"  document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means  document particular relevance: the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is commissed to involve	Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.  * Special categories of cited documents:  "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance  "E" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date  "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to	(06.11.0000)
Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.  Special categories of cited documents:  A document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance.  The carrier application or patent published on or after the international filing date to essablish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as essablish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as essablish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as essablish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as essablish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as essablish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as essablish the publication date of another citation or other means document operations are present the document is taken alone  "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is eason alone  document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means document published prior to the international filing date but later than the principle or theory underlying the invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is exhaust alone  document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means document published prior to the international filing date but later than the principle or theory underlying the invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or one of the such alone  "A" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means document published prior to the international search obligation or patent published prior to the international search obligation or patent published prior to the international search report  19 OC T 2004  Authorized officer  Eleni Martis Mercader	Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.  * Special categories of cited documents:  "A"  document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance  "E"  earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date  "L"  document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to	
Special categories of cited documents:  A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance  E" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date  L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)  O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means  P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed  O September 2004 (30.09.2004)  Jame and mailing address of the ISA/US  Mail Stop PCT, Attr. ISA/US  Commissioner for Patents  T"  later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the priority date not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention  "X"  document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone  "Y"  document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention and invention of the invent	Special categories of cited documents:  'A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance  'E" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date  'L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to	
Special categories of cited documents:  A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance  E" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date  L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)  O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means  P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed  O September 2004 (30.09.2004)  Jame and mailing address of the ISA/US  Mail Stop PCT, Attr. ISA/US  Commissioner for Patents  T"  later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the priority date not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention  "X"  document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone  "Y"  document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention and invention of the invent	Special categories of cited documents:  'A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance  'E" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date  'L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to	
Special categories of cited documents:  A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance  E" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date  L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)  O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means  P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed  O September 2004 (30.09.2004)  Jame and mailing address of the ISA/US  Mail Stop PCT, Attr. ISA/US  Commissioner for Patents  T"  later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the priority date not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention  "X"  document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone  "Y"  document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention and invention of the invent	Special categories of cited documents:  'A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance  "YE" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date  "YE" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to	
Special categories of cited documents:  A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance  E" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date  L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)  O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means  P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed  O September 2004 (30.09.2004)  Jame and mailing address of the ISA/US  Mail Stop PCT, Attr. ISA/US  Commissioner for Patents  T"  later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the priority date not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention  "X"  document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone  "Y"  document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention and invention of the invent	Special categories of cited documents:  'A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance  'E" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date  'L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to	
Special categories of cited documents:  A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance  E" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date  L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)  O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means  P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed  O September 2004 (30.09.2004)  Jame and mailing address of the ISA/US  Mail Stop PCT, Attr. ISA/US  Commissioner for Patents  T"  later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the priority date not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention  "X"  document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone  "Y"  document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention and invention of the invent	* Special categories of cited documents:  "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance  "E" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date  "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to	·
Special categories of cited documents:  A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance  E" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date  L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)  O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means  P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed  O September 2004 (30.09.2004)  Jame and mailing address of the ISA/US  Mail Stop PCT, Attr. ISA/US  Commissioner for Patents  T"  later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the priority date not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention  "X"  document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone  "Y"  document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention and invention of the invent	Special categories of cited documents:  'A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance  "YE" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date  "YE" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to	
Special categories of cited documents:  A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance  E" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date  L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)  O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means  P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed  O September 2004 (30.09.2004)  Jame and mailing address of the ISA/US  Mail Stop PCT, Attr. ISA/US  Commissioner for Patents  T"  later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the priority date not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention  "X"  document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone  "Y"  document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention and invention of the invent	Special categories of cited documents:  'A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance  "YE" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date  "YE" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to	
Special categories of cited documents:  A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance  E" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date  L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)  O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means  P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed  O September 2004 (30.09.2004)  Jame and mailing address of the ISA/US  Mail Stop PCT, Attr. ISA/US  Commissioner for Patents  T"  later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the priority date not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention  "X"  document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone  "Y"  document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention and invention of the invent	Special categories of cited documents:  'A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance  'E" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date  'L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to	
Special categories of cited documents:  A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance  E" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date  L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)  O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means  P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed  O September 2004 (30.09.2004)  Jame and mailing address of the ISA/US  Mail Stop PCT, Attr. ISA/US  Commissioner for Patents  T"  later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the priority date not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention  "X"  document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone  "Y"  document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention and invention of the invent	* Special categories of cited documents:  "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance  "B" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date  "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to	
Special categories of cited documents:  A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance  E" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date  L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)  O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means  P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed  O September 2004 (30.09.2004)  Jame and mailing address of the ISA/US  Mail Stop PCT, Attr. ISA/US  Commissioner for Patents  T"  later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the priority date not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention  "X"  document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone  "Y"  document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention and invention of the invent	* Special categories of cited documents:  "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance  "B" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date  "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to	
Special categories of cited documents:  A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance  E" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date  L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)  O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means  P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed  O September 2004 (30.09.2004)  Jame and mailing address of the ISA/US  Mail Stop PCT, Attr. ISA/US  Commissioner for Patents  T"  later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the priority date not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention  "X"  document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone  "Y"  document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention and invention of the invent	* Special categories of cited documents:  "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance  "B" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date  "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to	
Special categories of cited documents:  A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance  E" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date  L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)  O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means  P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed  O September 2004 (30.09.2004)  Jame and mailing address of the ISA/US  Mail Stop PCT, Attr. ISA/US  Commissioner for Patents  T"  later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the priority date not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention  "X"  document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone  "Y"  document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention and invention of the invent	* Special categories of cited documents:  "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance  "E" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date  "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to	
Special categories of cited documents:  A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance  E" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date  L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)  O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means  P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed  O September 2004 (30.09.2004)  Jame and mailing address of the ISA/US  Mail Stop PCT, Attr. ISA/US  Commissioner for Patents  T"  later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the priority date not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention  "X"  document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone  "Y"  document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention and invention of the invent	* Special categories of cited documents:  "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance  "E" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date  "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to	1
Special categories of cited documents:  A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance  E" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date  L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)  O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means  P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed  O September 2004 (30.09.2004)  Jame and mailing address of the ISA/US  Mail Stop PCT, Attr. ISA/US  Commissioner for Patents  T"  later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the priority date not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention  "X"  document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone  "Y"  document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention and invention of the invent	* Special categories of cited documents:  "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance  "E" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date  "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to	
Special categories of cited documents:  A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance  E" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date  L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)  O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means  P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed  O September 2004 (30.09.2004)  Jame and mailing address of the ISA/US  Mail Stop PCT, Attr. ISA/US  Commissioner for Patents  T"  later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the priority date not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention  "X"  document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone  "Y"  document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention and invention of the invent	* Special categories of cited documents:  "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance  "B" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date  "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to	
Special categories of cited documents:  A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance  E" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date  L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)  O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means  P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed  O September 2004 (30.09.2004)  Jame and mailing address of the ISA/US  Mail Stop PCT, Attr. ISA/US  Commissioner for Patents  T"  later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the priority date not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention  "X"  document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone  "Y"  document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention and invention of the invent	* Special categories of cited documents:  "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance  "E" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date  "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to	
Special categories of cited documents:  A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance  E" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date  L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)  O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means  P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed  O September 2004 (30.09.2004)  Jame and mailing address of the ISA/US  Mail Stop PCT, Attr. ISA/US  Commissioner for Patents  T"  later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the priority date not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention  "X"  document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone  "Y"  document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is considered to involve an inventive step when the documents of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention of the considered to involve an inventive step when	* Special categories of cited documents:  "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance  "B" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date  "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to	•
Special categories of cited documents:  A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance  E" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date  L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)  O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means  P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed  O September 2004 (30.09.2004)  Jame and mailing address of the ISA/US  Mail Stop PCT, Attr. ISA/US  Commissioner for Patents  T"  later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the priority date not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention  "X"  document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone  "Y"  document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is considered to involve an inventive step when the documents of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention of the considered to involve an inventive step when	* Special categories of cited documents:  "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance  "E" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date  "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to	
Special categories of cited documents:  A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance  E" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date  L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)  O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means  P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed  O September 2004 (30.09.2004)  Jame and mailing address of the ISA/US  Mail Stop PCT, Attr. ISA/US  Commissioner for Patents  T"  later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the priority date not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention  "X"  document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone  "Y"  document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is considered to involve an inventive step when the documents of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention of the considered to involve an inventive step when	* Special categories of cited documents:  "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance  "E" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date  "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to	
Special categories of cited documents:  A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance  E" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date  L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)  O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means  P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed  O September 2004 (30.09.2004)  Jame and mailing address of the ISA/US  Mail Stop PCT, Attr. ISA/US  Commissioner for Patents  T"  later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the priority date not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention  "X"  document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone  "Y"  document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is considered to involve an inventive step when the documents of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention of the considered to involve an inventive step when	* Special categories of cited documents:  "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance  "E" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date  "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to	See natent family appear
document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance  E" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date  L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)  O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means  P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed  OSeptember 2004 (30.09.2004)  Jame and mailing date or priority date cannot in conflict with the application at its clead to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone  "Y" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means  D" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed  Date of the actual completion of the international search  O September 2004 (30.09.2004)  Jame and mailing address of the ISA/US  Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US  Commissioner for Patents  Date Mantis Mercader  E" and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be consid	"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to	
of particular relevance  E" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date  L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)  O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means  P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed  Oate of the actual completion of the international search  O September 2004 (30.09.2004)  Jame and mailing address of the ISA/US  Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US  Commissioner for Patents  O document international search to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art document member of the same patent family  Date of mailing of the international search report  19 OCT 2004  Authorized officer  Eleni Mantis Mercader  Eleni Mantis Mercader	of particular relevance  "E" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date  "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to	later document published after the international filing date or priority
earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date  L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)  O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means  P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed  Oate of the actual completion of the international search  O September 2004 (30.09.2004)  Iame and mailing address of the ISA/US  Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US  Commissioner for Patents  O September 2004 (30.09.2004)  Eleni Mantis Mercader  A document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art document member of the same patent family  Date of mailing of the international search report  19 OCT 2004  Authorized officer  Eleni Mantis Mercader	'E" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date  'L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to	principle or theory underlying the invention
eartier application or patent published on or after the international filing date  L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)  O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means  P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed  Oate of the actual completion of the international search  O September 2004 (30.09.2004)  Iame and mailing address of the ISA/US  Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US  Commissioner for Patents	'E' earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date  'L' document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to	
L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)  O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means  P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed  Oate of the actual completion of the international search  O September 2004 (30.09.2004)  Jame and mailing address of the ISA/US  Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US  Commissioner for Patents  When the document is taken alone  document is taken alone  "Y"  document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art  document member of the same patent family  Date of mailing of the international search report  19 OCT 2004  Authorized officer  Eleni Mantis Mercader	"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to	
or document publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)  Or document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means  Or document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed  Oate of the actual completion of the international search  O September 2004 (30.09.2004)  Jame and mailing address of the ISA/US  Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US  Commissioner for Patents  O September 2004 (30.09.2004)  Manual Martis Mercader  O September 2004 (30.09.2004)  Eleni Mantis Mercader  O September 2004 (30.09.2004)  Eleni Mantis Mercader	account a which may allow doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to	when the document is taken alone
considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art  P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed  Date of the actual completion of the international search  O September 2004 (30.09.2004)  Date of mailing address of the ISA/US  Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US  Commissioner for Patents  Considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art  document member of the same patent family  Date of mailing of the international search report  19 OCT 2004  Authorized officer  Eleni Mantis Mercader	establish the publication date of another citation or other energy teacher	
o" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means  P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed  Oate of the actual completion of the international search  O September 2004 (30.09.2004)  Date of mailing of the international search report  19 OCT 2004  Authorized officer  Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US  Commissioner for Patents  Combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art  document member of the same patent family  Date of mailing of the international search report  Authorized officer  Eleni Mantis Mercader	specified)	considered to involve an inventive step when the decrease in
P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed  O September 2004 (30.09.2004)  Jame and mailing address of the ISA/US  Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US  Commissioner for Patents  Defin obvious to a person skilled in the art  document member of the same patent family  Date of mailing of the international search report  19 OCT 2004  Authorized officer  Eleni Mantis Mercader	O" dogument referring to an anal disability	combined with one or more other such documents, such combination
Date of the actual completion of the international search  O September 2004 (30.09.2004)  Iame and mailing address of the ISA/US  Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US  Commissioner for Patents  Date of mailing of the international search report  Authorized officer  Eleni Mantis Mercader	document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means	being obvious to a person skilled in the art
Date of the actual completion of the international search  O September 2004 (30.09.2004)  Iame and mailing address of the ISA/US  Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US  Commissioner for Patents  Date of mailing of the international search report  Authorized officer  Eleni Mantis Mercader	'P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the	document member of the same nateut family
O September 2004 (30.09.2004)  Iame and mailing address of the ISA/US  Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US  Commissioner for Patents  Authorized officer  Eleni Mantis Mercader	priority date claimed	and the same patern lamby
O September 2004 (30.09.2004)  Iame and mailing address of the ISA/US  Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US  Commissioner for Patents  Authorized officer  Eleni Mantis Mercader	Date of the actual completion of the international search D	te of mailing of the international search report
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US Commissioner for Patents  Authorized officer  Eleni Mantis Mercader  Sizeila H. Vendy		
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US Commissioner for Patents  Authorized officer  Eleni Mantis Mercader  Sizeila H. Vendy	U September 2004 (30.09.2004)	1 27 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Commissioner for Patents Eleni Mantis Mercader Cateria H. Veney	Name and maning address of the ISA/US	200014004
	Commissioner for Patenta	thorized officer
		thorized officer heat levery
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Telephone No. 703 308-0858		eni Mantis Mercader  Siscila H. Venoy
	Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230	eni Mantis Mercader  Siscilo H. Venosiset  ephone No. 703 308-0858
	orm PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) (January 2004)	eni Mantis Mercader  Poralegal Special